

FORTHCOMING 62D05-05-21-03

A MODIFIED CLASS OF REGRESSION ESTIMATORS BY USING HUBER M ESTIMATION

Zakir Hussain Wani, Rizwan Yousuf, and S.E.H.Rizvi

Division of Statistics and Computer Sciences, Main Campus SKUAST-J, Chatha Jammu-180009, India

ABSTRACT

In this article we have proposed some new improved ratio estimators based on robust regression that are robust against outliers and provide reliable results even when outliers are present; the properties are also investigated. The proposed class of estimators has been shown to be more effective than the current classes of estimators. An empirical analysis was conducted to see how well the proposed class of estimators compared to others.

KEY WORDS: Quartiles, Deciles, Kurtosis, Non-Conventional location parameters, Median, M-Estimation, Bias, Mean Square Error, Efficiency.

MSC: 62D05

RESUMEN

En este artículo hemos propuesto un nuevo estimador razón mejorado basado en la regresión robusta para los outliers y provee de resultados confiables aun ante la presencia de outliers; las propiedades son también investigadas. Se prueba que la clase propuesta de estimadores es más efectiva que otras clases de estimadores. Un análisis empírico se desarrolló para ver cuán bien se desempeña la nueva clase de estimadores respecto a otras.

PALABRAS CLAVE: Cuartiles, Deciles, Curtosis, parámetros de posición No-Convencionales Mediana, M-Estimación, Sesgo, Error Cuadrático Medio, Eficiencia

1. INTRODUCTION

The association between the auxiliary variable x and the study variable y is exploited by ratio-type estimators. When data on an auxiliary variable that is positively correlated with the study variable is available, the ratio estimator is a good choice for estimating the population mean. In sampling theory, population knowledge of the auxiliary variable is useful for ratio estimators. The outlier problem, which occurs when data contains extreme values, reduces efficiency because classical estimators are responsive to these extreme values. As a result, we suggest using Huber M-estimates in this paper. In ratio estimators, rather than least squares (LS) calculations, to reduce the negative effects of outlier data problems. In the next section, we'll go over conventional ratio estimators for the population mean in simple random sampling, as well as their MSE equations. We propose ratio estimators and discuss their MSE equations, as well as efficiency comparisons based on the MSE equations between traditional/existing and proposed estimators. In the last part, we numerically arrive at a conclusion based on these findings.

Notations used in this paper are:

N	Population size
n	Sample size
$f = \frac{n}{N}$	Sampling fraction
Y	Study Variable
X	Auxiliary Variable
\bar{Y}, \bar{X}	Population means
\bar{y}, \bar{x}	Sample means
S_y, S_x	Population standard deviations
S_{yx}	Population covariance between variables
C_y, C_x	Population coefficient of variation
ρ	Population correlation coefficient
$B(.)$	Bias of estimator
$MSE(.)$	Mean square error of estimator
ϕ_{ZR}	Proposed estimator
M_d	Population Median of auxiliary variable
$\beta_{2(x)}$	Population kurtosis of auxiliary variable
$\beta_{1(x)}$	Population skewness of auxiliary variable
$HL = \text{median} \left[\frac{(X_i + X_k)}{2}, 1 \leq j \leq k \leq N \right]$	Hodges – Lehman estimator

$$MR = \frac{(X_{(1)} + X_{(N)})}{2} \quad \text{Population mid range}$$

$$TM = \frac{Q_1 + 2Q_2 + Q_3}{4}$$

2. EXISTING ESTIMATORS IN THE LITERATURE

Using some known auxiliary information on coefficient of kurtosis and coefficient of variation, Kadilar and Cingi (2004) proposed ratio type estimators for the population mean in simple random sampling. In the estimation of the population mean, they demonstrated that their suggested estimators are more effective than conventional ratio estimators. The estimators of Kadilar&Cingi (2004) are provided by

$$\hat{Y}_1 = \frac{\bar{y} + b(\bar{X} - \bar{x})}{\bar{x}}, \quad \hat{Y}_2 = \frac{\bar{y} + b(\bar{X} - \bar{x})}{\bar{x} + C_x} (\bar{X} + C_x), \quad \hat{Y}_3 = \frac{\bar{y} + b(\bar{X} - \bar{x})}{\bar{x} + \beta_2} (\bar{X} + \beta_{2(x)})$$

$$\hat{Y}_4 = \frac{\bar{y} + b(\bar{X} - \bar{x})}{\bar{x}\beta_{2(x)} + C_x} (\bar{X}\beta_{2(x)} + C_x), \quad \hat{Y}_5 = \frac{\bar{y} + b(\bar{X} - \bar{x})}{\bar{x}C_x + \beta_{2(x)}} (\bar{X}C_x + \beta_{2(x)})$$

The following modified ratio estimators were developed by Kadilar and Cingi (2006) using known values of coefficient of correlation, kurtosis, and coefficient of variation.

$$\hat{Y}_6 = \frac{\bar{y} + b(\bar{X} - \bar{x})}{\bar{x} + \rho} (\bar{X} + \rho), \quad \hat{Y}_7 = \frac{\bar{y} + b(\bar{X} - \bar{x})}{\bar{x}C_x + \rho} (\bar{X}C_x + \rho),$$

$$\hat{Y}_8 = \frac{\bar{y} + b(\bar{X} - \bar{x})}{\bar{x}\rho + C_x} (\bar{X}\rho + C_x), \quad \hat{Y}_9 = \frac{\bar{y} + b(\bar{X} - \bar{x})}{\bar{x}\beta_{2(x)} + \rho} (\bar{X}\beta_{2(x)} + \rho),$$

$$\hat{Y}_{10} = \frac{\bar{y} + b(\bar{X} - \bar{x})}{\bar{x}\rho + \beta_{2(x)}} (\bar{X}\rho + \beta_{2(x)})$$

The MSE of the estimators is given by

$$MSE(\hat{Y}_i) = \lambda(R_{kci}^2 s_x^2 + 2BR_{kci} s_x^2 + B^2 s_x^2 - 2R_{kci} s_{xy} - 2Bs_{xy} + s_y^2), i = 1 \text{ to } 10$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} R_{kc1} &= \frac{\bar{Y}}{\bar{X}}, & R_{kc2} &= \frac{\bar{Y}}{\bar{X} + C_x}, & R_{kc3} &= \frac{\bar{Y}}{\bar{X} + \beta_{2(x)}} \\ R_{kc4} &= \frac{\bar{Y}\beta_{2(x)}}{\bar{X}\beta_{2(x)} + C_x}, & R_{kc5} &= \frac{\bar{Y}C_x}{\bar{X}C_x + \beta_{2(x)}}, & R_{kc6} &= \frac{\bar{Y}}{\bar{X} + \rho} \\ R_{kc7} &= \frac{\bar{Y}C_x}{\bar{X}C_x + \rho}, & R_{kc8} &= \frac{\bar{Y}\rho}{\bar{X}\rho + C_x}, & R_{kc9} &= \frac{\bar{Y}\beta_{2(x)}}{\bar{X}\beta_{2(x)} + \rho} \\ R_{kc10} &= \frac{\bar{Y}\rho}{\bar{X}\rho + \beta_{2(x)}} \end{aligned}$$

Yan and Tian (2010) suggested the following two modified ratio estimators based on kurtosis and coefficient of skewness.

$$\hat{Y}_{11} = \frac{\bar{y} + b(\bar{X} - \bar{x})}{\bar{x} + \beta_{1(x)}} (\bar{X} + \beta_{1(x)}), \quad \hat{Y}_{12} = \frac{\bar{y} + b(\bar{X} - \bar{x})}{\bar{x}\beta_{1(x)} + \beta_{2(x)}} (\bar{X}\beta_{1(x)} + \beta_{2(x)})$$

The MSE of the estimators is given by

$$MSE(\hat{Y}_i) = \lambda(R_{YTi}^2 s_x^2 + 2BR_{YTi} s_x^2 + B^2 s_x^2 - 2R_{YTi} s_{xy} - 2Bs_{xy} + s_y^2), i = 11 \text{ to } 12$$

Where

$$R_{YT11} = \frac{\bar{Y}}{\bar{X} + \beta_{1(x)}}, \quad R_{YT12} = \frac{\bar{Y}\beta_{1(x)}}{\bar{X}\beta_{1(x)} + \beta_{2(x)}}$$

Subzar et al (2019) proposed the following robust regression estimator as

$$\hat{Y}_{13} = \frac{\bar{y} + b_{rob}(\bar{X} - \bar{x})}{\bar{x}Q_1 + M_d} (\bar{X}Q_1 + M_d), \quad \hat{Y}_{14} = \frac{\bar{y} + b_{rob}(\bar{X} - \bar{x})}{\bar{x}Q_2 + M_d} (\bar{X}Q_2 + M_d)$$

$$\hat{Y}_{15} = \frac{\bar{y} + b_{rob}(\bar{X} - \bar{x})}{\bar{x}Q_3 + M_d} (\bar{X}Q_3 + M_d), \quad \hat{Y}_{16} = \frac{\bar{y} + b_{rob}(\bar{X} - \bar{x})}{\bar{x}TM + M_d} (\bar{X}TM + M_d)$$

$$\hat{Y}_{17} = \frac{\bar{y} + b_{rob}(\bar{X} - \bar{x})}{\bar{x}MR + M_d}(\bar{X}MR + M_d), \quad \hat{Y}_{18} = \frac{\bar{y} + b_{rob}(\bar{X} - \bar{x})}{\bar{x}HL + M_d}(\bar{X}HL + M_d)$$

The MSE of the estimators is given by

$$MSE(\hat{Y}_i) = \lambda(R_{SBi}^2 s_x^2 + 2B_{rob} R_{SBi} s_x^2 + B_{rob}^2 s_x^2 - 2R_{SBi} s_{xy} - 2B_{rob} s_{xy} + s_y^2)$$

Where,

$$R_{SB13} = \frac{\bar{Y}Q_1}{\bar{X}Q_1 + M_d}, \quad R_{SB14} = \frac{\bar{Y}Q_2}{\bar{X}Q_2 + M_d}, \quad R_{SB15} = \frac{\bar{Y}Q_3}{\bar{X}Q_3 + M_d}$$

$$R_{SB16} = \frac{\bar{Y}TM}{\bar{X}TM + M_d}, \quad R_{SB17} = \frac{\bar{Y}MR}{\bar{X}MR + M_d}, \quad R_{SB18} = \frac{\bar{Y}HL}{\bar{X}HL + M_d}$$

Where, $C_x, \beta_{2(x)}$, HL, TM, MR, $M_d, \beta_{1(x)}$, Q_1, Q_2 , and Q_3 are the population coefficient of variation, population coefficient of the kurtosis, Hodges and Lehman, Trimmed mean, Mid-range, Median, Skewness, first quartile, second quartile, and third quartile respectively, of the auxiliary variable; \bar{y} and \bar{x} are the sample means of the study variable and auxiliary variable respectively and it is assumed that the population mean \bar{X} of the auxiliary variable x is known. Here $\frac{s_{xy}}{s_x^2}$ is obtained by least square method, where s_x^2 and s_y^2 are the sample variances of the auxiliary and the study variable, respectively and s_{xy} is the sample covariance between the study and the auxiliary variable

The main advantage of the Huber M-estimates over LS estimates is that they are not sensitive to outliers. Thus, when there are outliers in the data, M-estimation is more accurate than LS estimation. Huber M-estimates use a function $\rho(e)$ that is a compromise between $e^2|e|$, where e is the error term of the regression model $y = a + bx + e$, a being the constant of the model. The Huber $\rho(e)$ function has the form

$$\rho(e) = \begin{cases} e^2 - k \leq e \leq k \\ 2k|e| - k^2 e < -k \text{ or } k < e \end{cases}$$

Where k is a tuning constant that controls the robustness of the estimators. Huber (1964) suggested $k = 1.5\hat{\sigma}$, where $\hat{\sigma}$, is an estimate of the standard deviation, σ of the population random errors. Details about constant k and M-estimators can be found in Candan(1995), Rousseeuw and Leroy(1987)

The value of the regression coefficient, b_{rob} is obtained by minimizing

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \rho(y_i - a - bx_i)$$

With respect to a and b . The details of the minimization procedure can be found in Birkes and Dodge (1993).

3. PROPOSED ESTIMATOR

Motivated from Sisodia and Dwevedi(1981)and classical regression estimator, we have proposed a modified class of regression estimator using Huber M estimation.

$$t_{ZRi} = \bar{y} \left(\frac{\bar{X}'}{\bar{x}'} \right) + b_{rob}(\bar{X} - \bar{x}) \quad (1)$$

Where $\bar{X}' = \alpha\bar{X} + \beta$ and $\bar{x}' = \alpha\bar{x} + \beta$

To obtain the bias and MSE of the modified estimator φ_{ZR} given by (1), we write

$$\varepsilon_y = \frac{\bar{y} - \bar{Y}}{\bar{y}} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_x = \frac{\bar{x} - \bar{X}}{\bar{x}}$$

Such that $E(\varepsilon_y) = E(\varepsilon_x) = 0$ and $E(\varepsilon_y^2) = \lambda C_y^2 E(\varepsilon_x^2) = \lambda C_x^2 E(\varepsilon_y \varepsilon_x) = \lambda C_{yx}$

Expressing (1) in terms of ε_y and ε_x , neglecting the terms of ε 's having power greater than two, we have

$$t_{ZRi} = \bar{Y}(1 + \varepsilon_y)(1 + \varphi_i \varepsilon_x)^{-1} + b_{rob} \bar{X} \varepsilon_x; \varphi_i = \frac{\alpha \bar{X}}{\alpha \bar{x} + \beta}, \varphi_1 = \frac{\beta_2 \bar{X}}{\beta_2 \bar{x} + D_1}, \varphi_2 = \frac{\beta_2 \bar{X}}{\beta_2 \bar{x} + D_2}, \varphi_3 = \frac{\beta_2 \bar{X}}{\beta_2 \bar{x} + D_3}, \varphi_4 = \frac{\beta_2 \bar{X}}{\beta_2 \bar{x} + D_4}$$

$$\varphi_5 = \frac{\beta_2 \bar{X}}{\beta_2 \bar{x} + D_5}, \varphi_6 = \frac{\beta_2 \bar{X}}{\beta_2 \bar{x} + D_6}, \varphi_7 = \frac{\beta_2 \bar{X}}{\beta_2 \bar{x} + D_7}, \varphi_8 = \frac{\beta_2 \bar{X}}{\beta_2 \bar{x} + D_8}, \varphi_9 = \frac{\beta_2 \bar{X}}{\beta_2 \bar{x} + D_9}, \varphi_{10} = \frac{\beta_2 \bar{X}}{\beta_2 \bar{x} + D_{10}}$$

The proposed families of estimators are

Proposed estimators	α	β
$t_{ZR1} = \bar{y} \left(\frac{\beta_2 \bar{X} + D_1}{\beta_2 \bar{x} + D_1} \right) + b_{rob}(\bar{X} - \bar{x})$	β_2	D_1
$t_{ZR2} = \bar{y} \left(\frac{\beta_2 \bar{X} + D_2}{\beta_2 \bar{x} + D_2} \right) + b_{rob}(\bar{X} - \bar{x})$	β_2	D_2

$t_{ZR3} = \bar{y} \left(\frac{\beta_2 \bar{X} + D_3}{\beta_2 \bar{x} + D_3} \right) + b_{rob}(\bar{X} - \bar{x})$	β_2	D_3
$t_{ZR4} = \bar{y} \left(\frac{\beta_2 \bar{X} + D_4}{\beta_2 \bar{x} + D_4} \right) + b_{rob}(\bar{X} - \bar{x})$	β_2	D_4
$t_{ZR5} = \bar{y} \left(\frac{\beta_2 \bar{X} + D_5}{\beta_2 \bar{x} + D_5} \right) + b_{rob}(\bar{X} - \bar{x})$	β_2	D_5
$t_{ZR6} = \bar{y} \left(\frac{\beta_2 \bar{X} + D_6}{\beta_2 \bar{x} + D_6} \right) + b_{rob}(\bar{X} - \bar{x})$	β_2	D_6
$t_{ZR7} = \bar{y} \left(\frac{\beta_2 \bar{X} + D_7}{\beta_2 \bar{x} + D_7} \right) + b_{rob}(\bar{X} - \bar{x})$	β_2	D_7
$t_{ZR8} = \bar{y} \left(\frac{\beta_2 \bar{X} + D_8}{\beta_2 \bar{x} + D_8} \right) + b_{rob}(\bar{X} - \bar{x})$	β_2	D_8
$t_{ZR9} = \bar{y} \left(\frac{\beta_2 \bar{X} + D_9}{\beta_2 \bar{x} + D_9} \right) + b_{rob}(\bar{X} - \bar{x})$	β_2	D_9
$t_{ZR10} = \bar{y} \left(\frac{\beta_2 \bar{X} + D_{10}}{\beta_2 \bar{x} + D_{10}} \right) + b_{rob}(\bar{X} - \bar{x})$	β_2	D_{10}

$$t_{ZRi} - \bar{Y} = \bar{Y}(\varepsilon_y + \varphi_i \varepsilon_x + \varphi_i^2 \varepsilon_x^2 - \varphi_i \varepsilon_y \varepsilon_x - b_{rob} L \varepsilon_x)$$

Taking expectation of both sides, we have the bias of t_{ZRi} up to the first degree of approximation as:

$$Bias(t_{ZRi}) = \lambda \bar{Y} (C_x^2 - \varphi_i C_{yx})$$

Squaring both sides of and neglecting the terms of e 's having power greater than two and then taking expectation of both sides, we get the MSE of the estimator t_{ZRi} to the first degree of approximation as

$$E(t_{ZRi} - \bar{Y})^2 = E[\bar{Y}^2(\varepsilon_y + \varphi_i \varepsilon_x + \varphi_i^2 \varepsilon_x^2 - \varphi_i \varepsilon_y \varepsilon_x - b_{rob} L \varepsilon_x)^2]$$

$$MSE(t_{ZRi}) = \lambda [S_y^2 + B_{rob}^2 S_x^2 + 2B_{rob}(\varphi_i R S_x^2 - S_{yx}) + \varphi_i(\varphi_i R^2 S_x^2 - 2R S_{yx})]$$

Where $R = \frac{\bar{Y}}{\bar{X}}, L = \frac{\bar{X}}{\bar{Y}}$.

4. EFFICIENCY COMPARISONS

Comparison with existing estimators: This section deals with the derivation of algebraic situation, under which the proposed estimators will have minimum MSE as compared to estimators in literature, t_{ZRi} Perform better than Kadilar and Cingi (2004,2006) estimators if

$$MSE(t_{ZRi}) > MSE(\hat{Y}_i), \quad i = 1 \text{ to } 10$$

$$\lambda [S_y^2 + B_{rob}^2 S_x^2 + 2B_{rob}(\varphi_i R S_x^2 - S_{yx}) + \varphi_i(\varphi_i R^2 S_x^2 - 2R S_{yx})]$$

$$< \lambda [R_{kci}^2 S_x^2 + 2BR_{kci} S_x^2 + B^2 S_x^2 - 2R_{kci} S_{xy} - 2BS_{xy} + S_y^2]$$

$$[(B_{rob}^2 - B^2) + 2(\varphi_i R B_{rob} - BR_{kci}) + (\varphi_i^2 R^2 - R_{kci}^2)] S_x^2 - 2[(B_{rob} - B) + (R\varphi_i - R_{kci})] S_{xy} < 0$$

1. t_{ZRi} Perform better than Yan and Tian (2010) estimators if

$$MSE(t_{ZRi}) > MSE(\hat{Y}_i), \quad i = 10 \text{ to } 12$$

$$\lambda [S_y^2 + B_{rob}^2 S_x^2 + 2B_{rob}(\varphi_i R S_x^2 - S_{yx}) + \varphi_i(\varphi_i R^2 S_x^2 - 2R S_{yx})]$$

$$< \lambda [R_{YTi}^2 S_x^2 + 2BR_{YTi} S_x^2 + B^2 S_x^2 - 2R_{YTi} S_{xy} - 2BS_{xy} + S_y^2]$$

$$[(B_{rob}^2 - B^2) + 2(\varphi_i R B_{rob} - BR_{YTi}) + (\varphi_i^2 R^2 - R_{YTi}^2)] S_x^2 - 2[(B_{rob} - B) + (R\varphi_i - R_{YTi})] S_{xy} < 0$$

2. t_{ZRi} Perform better than Subzar (2019) estimators if

$$MSE(t_{ZRi}) > MSE(\hat{Y}_i), \quad i = 13 \text{ to } 18$$

$$\lambda [S_y^2 + B_{rob}^2 S_x^2 + 2B_{rob}(\varphi_i R S_x^2 - S_{yx}) + \varphi_i(\varphi_i R^2 S_x^2 - 2R S_{yx})]$$

$$< \lambda [R_{SBi}^2 S_x^2 + 2B_{rob} R_{SBi} S_x^2 + B_{rob}^2 S_x^2 - 2R_{SBi} S_{xy} - 2B_{rob} S_{xy} + S_y^2]$$

$$[2B_{rob}(\varphi_i R - R_{Sbi}) + (\varphi_i^2 R^2 - R_{Sbi}^2)]S_x^2 - 2[\varphi_i R - R_{Sbi}]S_{yx} < 0$$

5. EMPIRICAL STUDY

We obtained the data from Singh, D., and Chaudhary, F. S. (1986), page 177 of their book Theory and Analysis of Sample Survey Designs, in which the data for wheat in 1971 and 1973 are given, and in which the area under wheat in the region was to be estimated during 1974 is denoted by Y (study variable) by using the data for cultivated area under wheat in 1971 is denoted by X. (auxiliary variable).

Population Characteristics (Table I)

Parameter	Population	Parameter	Population	Parameter	Population
N	34	S_x	150.5059	TM	162.25
\bar{N}	20	C_x	0.7205	MR	284.5
\bar{Y}	856.4117	$\beta_{2(x)}$	0.0978	HL	190
\bar{X}	208.8823	$\beta_{1(x)}$	0.9782	Q_1	94.25
ρ	0.4491	QD	80.85	Q_2	150
S_y	733.1407	B_{rob}	1.57	Q_3	254.75
C_y	0.8561	B	2.19	M_d	150

The MSE of the existing estimators (TABLE II). The MSE of the Proposed estimators (Table III)

Estimators	MSE	Estimators	MSE	Estimators	MSE	Estimators	MSE
\bar{Y}_1	16673.45	\bar{Y}_{10}	16657.19	e_{201}	8878.43	e_{206}	8871.41
\bar{Y}_2	16619.64	\bar{Y}_{11}	16600.54	e_{202}	8862.63	e_{207}	8879.36
\bar{Y}_3	16666.14	\bar{Y}_{12}	16665.98	e_{203}	8835.47	e_{208}	8903.21
\bar{Y}_4	16146.61	\bar{Y}_{13}	14373.69	e_{204}	8836.57	e_{209}	8922.94
\bar{Y}_5	16663.31	\bar{Y}_{14}	14410.62	e_{205}	8842.41	e_{210}	8939.96
\bar{Y}_6	16639.85	\bar{Y}_{15}	14436.48				
\bar{Y}_7	16626.87	\bar{Y}_{16}	14415.36				
\bar{Y}_8	16554.4	\bar{Y}_{17}	14445.47				
\bar{Y}_9	16338.65	\bar{Y}_{18}	14445.48				

Percent Relative Efficiencies of proposed estimators with Kadilar and Cingi (2004, 2006)

	\bar{Y}_1	\bar{Y}_2	\bar{Y}_3	\bar{Y}_4	\bar{Y}_5	\bar{Y}_6	\bar{Y}_7	\bar{Y}_8	\bar{Y}_9	\bar{Y}_{10}
e_{201}	187.797	187.191	187.714	181.863	187.683	187.418	187.272	186.456	184.026	187.6141
e_{202}	188.132	187.524	188.049	182.187	188.017	187.606	186.788	184.354	187.9486	
e_{203}	188.710	188.101	188.627	182.747	188.595	188.330	188.183	184.921	188.5264	
e_{204}	188.686	188.686	188.604	182.724	188.572	188.306	188.159	187.339	184.898	
e_{205}	188.562	187.953	188.479	182.604	188.447	188.182	188.035	187.215	188.5029	
e_{206}	187.945	187.339	187.863	182.007	187.831	187.567	187.420	186.603	188.3784	
e_{207}	187.777	187.171	187.695	181.844	187.663	187.399	186.436	184.007	188.776	
e_{208}	187.274	186.670	187.192	181.357	187.160	186.897	186.751	185.937	183.514	
e_{209}	186.860	186.257	186.778	180.956	186.746	186.483	186.338	185.526	183.108	
e_{210}	186.504	185.902	186.423	180.611	186.391	186.128	185.983	185.173	182.759	
	7	8	186.423	7	3	9	7	1	8	186.3229

Percent Relative Efficiencies of proposed estimators with Yan and Tian (2010) & Subzar (2019)

	\bar{Y}_1	\bar{Y}_2	\bar{Y}_3	\bar{Y}_4	\bar{Y}_5	\bar{Y}_6	\bar{Y}_7	\bar{Y}_8	\bar{Y}_9	\bar{Y}_{10}
e_{201}	186.9761	187.7131	161.8945	162.3105	162.6017	162.3638	162.703	162.70		
e_{202}	187.3094	188.0478	162.1831	162.5998	162.8916	162.6533	162.993	32		
e_{203}	187.8852	188.6258	162.6817	163.0996	163.3923	163.1533	163.4941	163.49		
e_{204}	187.8618	188.6024	162.6614	163.0793	163.372	163.133	163.4737	38		
e_{205}	187.7377	188.4778	162.554	162.9716	163.2641	163.0252	163.3658	59		
e_{206}	187.124	187.8617	162.0226	162.4389	162.7304	162.4923	162.8317	18		
e_{207}	186.9565	187.6935	161.8775	162.2935	162.5847	162.3468	162.6859	6		
e_{208}	186.4557	187.1907	161.4439	161.8587	162.1492	161.9119	162.2501	02		
e_{209}	186.0434	186.7768	161.0869	161.5008	161.7906	161.5539	161.8914	15		
e_{210}	185.6892	186.4212	160.7802	161.1933	161.4826	161.2464	161.5832	33		

The percent relative efficiencies (PRE) of the proposed estimators, with respect to the existing estimators is computed by

$$PRE = \frac{MSE \text{ of Existing Estimator}}{MSE \text{ of proposed estimator}} \times 100$$

6. CONCLUSION

As a result, the auxiliary knowledge of Kurtosis and Deciles may be used. Our proposed estimators outperform classical and current estimators in terms of mean square error and bias, as compared to the literature's classical and existing estimators. We strongly suggest that our proposed estimators be used in the future for realistic applications over the estimators in the literature and even over classical estimators.

RECEIVED: MAY, 2021.
REVISED: OCTOBER, 2021.

REFERENCES

- [1] ABUZAID, A.H., HUSSIN, A.G. and MOHAMED, I.B. (2013): Detection of outliers in simple circular regression models using the mean circular error statistic. **J. Stat. Comput. Simul.** 83,269–277.
- [2] ALKASADI, N.A., IBRAHIM,S., ABUZAID, A.H., and YUSOFF, M.I. (2019): Outliers detection in multiple circular regression models using DFFITc statistic. **Sains Malaysiana**, 48,1557–1563.

- [3] ALSHQAQ, S., ABUZAID, A.H., and AHMADINI, A. (2021): Robust estimators for circular regression models. **Journal of King Saud University–Science**, 33,101576.
- [4] B.V.S. SISODIA, and V.K.DWIVEDI. (1981): A modified ratio estimator using coefficient of variation of auxiliary variable.**Journal of Indian Society Agricultural Statistics**, 33:13-18
- [5] BIRKES, D. and DODGE, Y. (1993): **Alternative Methods of Regression**. John Wiley & Sons.
- [6] DIAKONIKOLAS, G. KAMATH, D. M. KANE, J. LI, A. MOITRA, and STEWART (2016): Robust estimators in high dimensions without the computational intractability,” in Proc. of IEEE **Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science**, (New Brunswick, NJ).
- [7] HAMPEL, F. R. (1975): Beyond location parameters- robust concepts and methods. **Proceedings of the 40th session of the ISI**.46, 375-391.
- [8] HUBER, P. J. (1981):**Robust Statistics**. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- [9] HUBER, P. J. (1964): Robust Estimation of a Location Parameter. **Annals of Mathematical Statistics**.35, 73-101.
- [10] HUBER, P. J. (1973): Robust regression-Asymptotics, conjectures, and Monte Carlo. **Ann. Stat.**1, 799-821.
- [11] KADILAR, C. and CINGI, H. (2004): Ratio estimators in simple random sampling. **Applied Mathematics and Computation**,151, 893-902.
- [12] LAI,L. and BAYRAKTAR,E.(2020):On the adversarial robustness of robust estimators. **IEEE Transactions on Information Theory**. 66,5097-5109
- [13] PRASAD, A., SUGGALA, A.S., BALAKRISHNAN, S. and RAVIKUMAR, P.(2018): Robust estimation via robust gradient estimation. **arXiv preprint arXiv**,1802.06485.
- [14] ROUSSEEUW, P. J. (1984): Least median of squares regression. **Journal of the American Statistical Association**, 79, 871-880.
- [15] ROUSSEEUW, P. J. and LEROY, M. A. (1987):**Robust Regression and Outlier Detection**. John Wiley & Sons, New York
- [16]BALAKRISHNAN, S. DU, J. LI, and SINGH,A. (2017): Computationally efficient robust sparse estimation in high dimensions,” in Proc. **Conference on Learning Theory, Proceedings of Machine Learning Research**. (Amsterdam, Netherlands), 2,169–212,
- [17] SINGH, D. and CHAUDHARY, F. S. (1986):**Theory and Analysis of Sample Survey Designs**. 1 edn, New Age International Publisher, India.
- [18] SUBZAR,M. BOUZA, C., N. MAQBOOL, S. RAJA, T A AND PARA, B. A. (2019):Robust ratio type estimators in simple random sampling using Huber M estimation. **Revista investigacion operacional**, 40, 201-209
- [19] WOLTER, K. M. (1985):**Introduction to Variance Estimation**. Springer-Verlag. *New York, Inc.*
- [20] YAN, Z. and TIAN, B. (2010): Ratio Method to the Mean Estimation Using Coefficient of Skewness of Auxiliary Variable. **ICICA, Part II, CCIS**, 106, 103–110.
- [21] YANG, X.MEER, P. and MEER, J.(2020): A new approach to robust estimation of parametric structures . **IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence**.
- [22] YOUSUF, R. SHARMA,M. BHAT, M.I.J. RIZVI, S.E.H.(2021):Robust model for the quadratic production function in presence of high leverage points. **International Journal of Scientific Research in Mathematical and Statistical Sciences**, 8 ,8-13.
- [23] Wani, Z.H., YOUSUF, R., Rizvi , S.E.H. and Mushtaq, S. (2022): Modified Ratio-cum- Exponential Estimator for Appraising the Population Mean using Conventional and non-Conventional Parameters. **An International Journal of Statistics Applications probability & Letters**, 9, 49-61.
- [24] YOUSUF, R., Rather, K., and Wani, Z.H. (2022): Improved ratio type estimators for population mean when functions of quartiles of auxiliary variable. **International journal of Statistics and Reliability Engineering**, 9, 167-172.