# A GENERALIZED EXPONENTIAL RATIO-PRODUCT ESTIMATOR FOR POPULATION VARIANCE IN SIMPLE RANDOM SAMPLING

Mir Subzar<sup>1</sup>\*and Yasmeena Ismail\*\*

<sup>\*</sup>Jammu and Kashmir Institute of Mathematical Sciences (JKIMS), J and K, India <sup>\*\*</sup>Division of Agricultural Statistics SKUAST-K, Shalimar (190025), India

### ABSTRACT

This study focuses on proposing the generalized exponential ratio-product estimator for population variance in simple random sampling by using the combination of some existing members with scalars. Mean square error and bias expressions with optimality conditions has been derived and at the optimal conditions proposed generalized class is a suitable alternative to linear regression estimator. Both analytical and numerical comparison with some existing estimators shows better performances from the members of the proposed class.

KEYWORDS: Exponential ratio-product estimator, Bias, MSE, optimality conditions, Efficiency

#### MSC: 62D05

### RESUMEN

Este studio está enfocado en proponer un esimdor generalizado exponential razón-producto para la varianza poblaionel en el muestreo simple aleaoria uando combination de laugno de lo smiembros xostentes con escalares. Expresiones para el Error Cuadrlatico Medio y el sesgo bajo conditoncnes de optimalidad han sido derivadas y estas conditones levan a proponer una vlase generalizada que es adecuada para el stimdor lineal de regression . Coapraciones analyticas y numericas se llvn a cabo rspecto a otros estimdores existentes mustan un mejro compratmaeito d elso miembos d ela propuesta class.

KEYWORDS: Exponential ratio-product estimator, Bias, MSE, optimality conditions, Efficiency

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Existence of finite population  $Q = [q_1, q_2, \dots, q_N]$  is the basic assumption for survey sampling model, where units are perfectly identifiable and sample of size  $z \leq Z$  is selected from Q. However the supplementary information of the finite population under consideration is quite often available from previous experience, census or administrative databases and so while incorporating such ancillary information in survey sampling has also resulted an increase in precision, while estimating population parameters. From the literature on survey sampling, there are various methods by which this supplementary information is incorporated such as ratio, product, regression, difference method of estimation, but in this study we have incorporated the ancillary information of Gini's Mean Difference, Downton's Method and Probability weighted moment of auxiliary variate. Use of such type of ancillary information in this study is made, because these parameters are not affected by extreme values as they are robust to extreme values. While estimating the population variance with more precision various authors have tried their best to obtain more precision and the significant contribution in this area are due to Das and Tripathi (1978), Isaki (1983), Kadilar and Cingi (2006), Singh et al. (2013; 2014), Solanki et al. (2015) and Singh and Pal (2016) has also suggested the class of ratio estimators for population variance. Recently Bhat et al. (2018a, 2018b) and Maqbool et al. (2018) have also proposed different ratio estimators for estimating finite population variance by incorporating different parameters of auxiliary variable in order to get more precise results. So in this study we have proposed a generalized exponential ratio-product estimator for population variance, which is a suitable alternative to linear regression estimator and is more efficient that existing ones mentioned in this study in terms of efficiency both from Analytical comparison and Numerical illustration.

a) Notations

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Email: subzarstat@gmail.com

Consider a finite population  $Q = [q_1, q_2, ..., q_Z]$  of Z units and let (u, v) be the (study, auxiliary) variable defined on Q taking values  $(u_i, v_i)$  respectively, on  $Q_i = [1, 2, ..., Z]$ . It is desired to estimate the population variance  $T_u^2$  of the study variable *u* using information on an auxiliary variable *v*. Let a simple random sample of size z be drawn without replacement from the finite population Q. Several existing estimators have been mentioned in this study and is given in Table 1. We denote  $\overline{U} = Z^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{Z} u_i$ ; The population mean of the study variable u,  $\overline{V} = Z^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{Z} v_i$ ; The population mean of the auxiliary variable v,  $\overline{u} = z^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{z} u_i$ ; The sample mean of the study variable u,  $\overline{v} = z^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{z} v_i$ ; The sample mean of the auxiliary variable v,  $C_u = \frac{T_u}{\overline{\tau\tau}}$ ; Coefficient of variation of the study variable u,  $C_{v} = \frac{T_{v}}{V}$ ; Coefficient of variation of the auxiliary variable v,  $T_u^2 = (Z-1)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{Z} (u_i - \overline{U})^2$ ; The population variance of the study variable u,  $T_v^2 = (Z-1)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{Z} (v_i - \overline{V})^2$ ; The population variance of the auxiliary variable v,  $\rho_c = \frac{T_{uv}}{T T}$ ; Correlation coefficient between the auxiliary and study variables,  $\lambda = \frac{T_{uv}}{T^2}$ ; Regression Coefficient  $k = \rho_c \frac{C_u}{C}$ ; Population constant  $\delta = \frac{1-f}{z}$ , while  $f = \frac{z}{Z}$  is the sampling fraction  $G = (4/Z - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{Z} \{(2i - Z - 1)/2Z\} V_{(i)}$  Gini's mean difference  $D = \left(2\sqrt{\lambda}/Z(Z-1)\right)\sum_{i=1}^{Z} \left\{i - \frac{Z+1}{2}\right\} V_{(i)} \text{ Downton's method}$  $S_{pw} = \left(\sqrt{\lambda}/Z^2\right) \sum_{i=1}^{Z} \left\{2i - Z - 1\right\} V_{(i)}$  Probability Weighted Moments Table 1: Some existing members mentioned in this study Estimator **MSE Bias** 

$t_{u(clR)}^{2} = t_{u}^{2} \left( \frac{T_{v}^{2}}{t_{v}^{2}} \right)$ Cochran (1940)	$\frac{(1-f)}{z}T_{u}^{4}\left[C_{u}^{2}+C_{v}^{2}(1-2k)\right]$	$\frac{(1-f)}{z}T_u^2C_u^2(1-k)$
$t_{u(clP)}^{2} = t_{u}^{2} \left( \frac{t_{v}^{2}}{T_{v}^{2}} \right)$ [(Robson:1957), (Murthy:1964)]	$\frac{(1-f)}{z}T_{u}^{4}\left[C_{u}^{2}+C_{v}^{2}(1+2k)\right]$	$\frac{\left(1-f\right)}{z}T_{u}^{2}C_{u}^{2}k$

$$\begin{aligned} t_{u\,(exp\,R)}^{2} &= t_{u}^{2} \exp\left(\frac{T_{v}^{2} - t_{v}^{2}}{t_{v}^{2} + T_{v}^{2}}\right) & \frac{(1 - f)}{z} T_{u}^{4} \left[C_{u}^{2} + \frac{C_{v}^{2}}{4}(1 - 4k)\right] & \frac{(1 - f)}{8z} T_{u}^{2} C_{u}^{2}(3 - 4k) \\ \hline Bahl and Tuteja; 1991 & \frac{(1 - f)}{z} T_{u}^{4} \left[C_{u}^{2} + \frac{C_{v}^{2}}{4}(1 - 4k)\right] & \frac{(1 - f)}{8z} T_{u}^{2} C_{u}^{2}(3 - 4k) \\ \hline Bahl and Tuteja; 1991 & \frac{(1 - f)}{z} T_{u}^{4} \left[C_{u}^{2} + \frac{C_{v}^{2}}{4}(1 + 4k)\right] & \frac{(1 - f)}{8z} T_{u}^{2} C_{u}^{2}(4k - 1) \\ \hline Bahl and Tuteja; 1991 & \frac{(1 - f)}{z} T_{u}^{4} \left[C_{u}^{2} + 4C_{v}^{2}(1 - k)\right] & \frac{(1 - f)}{z} T_{u}^{2} C_{u}^{2}(4k - 1) \\ \hline t_{u\,(chR)}^{2} &= t_{u}^{2} \left(\frac{T_{v}^{2}}{t_{v}^{2}}\right)^{2} & \frac{(1 - f)}{z} T_{u}^{4} \left[C_{u}^{2} + 4C_{v}^{2}(1 - k)\right] & \frac{(1 - f)}{z} T_{u}^{2} C_{u}^{2}(1 + 2k) \\ \hline Kadilar and Cingi; 2003 & \frac{(1 - f)}{z} T_{u}^{4} \left[C_{u}^{2} + 4C_{v}^{2}(1 - k)\right] & \frac{(1 - f)}{z} T_{u}^{2} C_{u}^{2}(1 + 2k) \\ \hline Kadilar and Cingi; 2003 & \frac{(1 - f)}{z} T_{u}^{4} \left[C_{u}^{2} + 4C_{v}^{2}(1 - k)\right] & \frac{(1 - f)}{z} T_{u}^{2} C_{u}^{2}(1 + 2k) \\ \hline Linear Regression estimator & \frac{(1 - f)}{z} T_{u}^{4} C_{u}^{2}(1 - \rho_{c}^{2}) & 0 \end{aligned}$$

### 2. PROPOSED ESTIMATOR

We suggest the generalized exponential ratio-product estimator for population variance denoted as  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & -1 \\ 2 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & -1 \\ 2 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ 

$$t_{u(pr)}^{2} = t_{u}^{2} \left[ \eta_{1} \left( \frac{t_{v}^{2}}{T_{v}^{2}} \right) \exp \left\{ \frac{\theta_{1} \left( t_{v}^{2} - T_{v}^{2} \right)}{t_{v}^{2} + T_{v}^{2}} \right\} + \eta_{2} \left( \frac{T_{v}^{2}}{t_{v}^{2}} \right) \exp \left\{ \frac{\theta_{2} \left( t_{v}^{2} - T_{v}^{2} \right)}{t_{v}^{2} + T_{v}^{2}} \right\} \right]$$
(2.1)

where  $\eta_1 + \eta_2 = 1$  while  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  suitably chosen scalars.

# a) Bias and Mean square of the proposed generalized exponential ratio-product estimator.

To obtain the bias and mean square error for the estimator  $t_{u(pr)}^2$ , expressing (2.1) in terms of (1.4) and simplifying the part first of the (2.1), we obtain

$$\eta_{1}\left(\frac{t_{\nu}^{2}}{T_{\nu}^{2}}\right)\exp\left\{\frac{\theta_{1}\left(t_{\nu}^{2}-T_{\nu}^{2}\right)}{t_{\nu}^{2}+T_{\nu}^{2}}\right\} = \eta_{1}\frac{T_{\nu}^{2}\left(1+e_{\nu}\right)}{T_{\nu}^{2}}\exp\left\{\frac{\theta_{1}\left(T_{\nu}^{2}\left(1+e_{\nu}\right)-T_{\nu}^{2}\right)}{T_{\nu}^{2}\left(1+e_{\nu}\right)+T_{\nu}^{2}}\right\}$$
$$= \eta_{1}\left(1+e_{\nu}\right)\exp\left\{\frac{\theta_{1}}{2}e_{\nu}\left(1+\frac{e_{\nu}}{2}\right)^{-1}\right\}$$

Using Taylor's series expansion up to second order approximation and assuming higher orders are negligible, we obtain

$$\eta_{1}\left(\frac{t_{\nu}^{2}}{T_{\nu}^{2}}\right)\exp\left\{\frac{\theta_{1}\left(t_{\nu}^{2}-T_{\nu}^{2}\right)}{t_{\nu}^{2}+T_{\nu}^{2}}\right\} = \eta_{1}+\eta_{1}\frac{\theta_{1}}{2}e_{\nu}-\eta_{1}\frac{\theta_{1}}{4}e_{\nu}^{2}+\eta_{1}\frac{\theta_{1}^{2}}{8}e_{\nu}^{2}+\eta_{1}e_{\nu}+\eta_{1}\frac{\theta_{1}}{2}e_{\nu}^{2}$$
(2.2)

Similarly, the second term in (2.1)  $\eta_2 \left( \frac{T_v^2}{t_v^2} \right) \exp \left\{ \frac{\theta_2 \left( t_v^2 - T_v^2 \right)}{t_v^2 + T_v^2} \right\}$  is expandable as follows;

$$\eta_2 \left(\frac{T_v^2}{t_v^2}\right) \exp\left\{\frac{\theta_2 \left(t_v^2 - T_v^2\right)}{t_v^2 + T_v^2}\right\} = \eta_2 \frac{T_v^2}{T_v^2 \left(1 + e_v\right)} \exp\left\{\frac{\theta_2 \left(T_v^2 \left(1 + e_v\right) - T_v^2\right)}{T_v^2 \left(1 + e_v\right) + T_v^2}\right)\right\}$$

Using Taylor series expansion up to second order approximation that is, neglecting terms with power greater than 2, we obtain  $(\pi^2) = (2(2 - \pi^2))$ 

$$\eta_2 \left( \frac{T_v^2}{t_v^2} \right) \exp\left\{ \frac{\theta_2 \left( t_v^2 - T_v^2 \right)}{t_v^2 + T_v^2} \right\} = \eta_2 + \eta_2 \frac{\theta_2}{2} e_v - \eta_2 \frac{\theta_2}{4} e_v^2 + \eta_2 \frac{\theta_2^2}{8} e_v^2 - \eta_2 e_v + \eta_2 \frac{\theta_2}{2} + e_v^2 + \eta_2 e_v^2$$
(2.3)

Adding (2.2) and (2.3) together, simplifying the result and substituting for  $t_u^2$  the proposed generalized exponential ratio-product estimator  $t_{u(pr)}^2$  becomes

$$t_{u(pr)}^{2} = T_{u}^{2} \begin{cases} 1 + (\eta_{1}\theta_{1} + 2\eta_{1} + \eta_{2}\theta_{2} - 2\eta_{1})\frac{e_{v}}{2} - (2\eta_{1}\theta_{1} - \eta_{1}\theta_{1}^{2} - 4\eta_{1}\theta_{1} + 2\eta_{2}\theta_{2} - \eta_{2}\theta_{2}^{2})\frac{e_{v}^{2}}{8} \\ + 4\eta_{2}\theta_{2} - 8\eta_{2} \end{cases} + e_{u} + (\eta_{1}\theta_{1} + 2\eta_{1} + \eta_{2}\theta_{2} - 2\eta_{2})\frac{e_{v}e_{u}}{2} \end{cases}$$
(2.4)

But  $\eta_2 = 1 - \eta_1$ 

$$t_{u(pr)}^{2} = T_{u}^{2} \left\{ \begin{aligned} 1 + (\eta_{1}\theta_{1} + 4\eta_{1} + \theta_{2} - \eta_{1}\theta_{2} - 2)\frac{e_{v}}{2} - \\ \left\{ (2\eta_{1}\theta_{1} - \eta_{1}\theta_{1}^{2} + 2\theta_{2} + 2\eta_{2}\theta_{2} - 2\eta_{1}\theta_{2} - \theta_{2}^{2} + \eta_{1}\theta_{2}^{2} - 4\eta_{1}\theta_{2} - 8 + 8\eta_{1})\frac{e_{v}^{2}}{8} \\ + e_{u} + (\eta_{1}\theta_{1} + 4\eta_{1} + \theta_{2} - \eta_{1}\theta_{2} - 2)\frac{e_{v}e_{u}}{2} \end{aligned} \right\}$$
(2.5)

The bias of the proposed generalized exponential ratio-product estimator to its first order approximation is obtained from (2.5) as follows

$$B(t_{u(pr)}^{2}) = E[t_{u(pr)}^{2} - T_{u}^{2}]$$

$$B(t_{u(pr)}^{2}) = T_{u}^{2} \begin{cases} [\eta_{1}\theta_{1} + 4\eta_{1} + \theta_{2} - \eta_{1}\theta_{2} - 2]\delta\rho_{c}C_{u}C_{v} - \theta_{1}^{2} + 2\theta_{2} - 2\eta_{1}\theta_{2} - \theta_{1}^{2} + 4\theta_{2} - 4\eta_{1}\theta_{2} - 8 - 8\eta_{1}]\frac{\delta C_{v}^{2}}{8} \end{cases}$$

$$(2.6)$$

The expression for the MSE of the proposed generalized exponential ratio-product estimator is also obtained from (2.5) as follows;

$$MSE(t_{u(pr)}^{2}) = E[t_{u(pr)}^{2} - T_{u}^{2}]^{2}$$

$$= (T_{u}^{2})^{2} \left\{ e_{u}^{2} + (\eta_{1}\theta_{1} + 4\eta_{1} + \theta_{2} - \eta_{1}\theta_{2} - 2)e_{u}e_{v} + (\eta_{1}\theta_{1} + 4\eta_{1} - \eta_{1}\theta_{2} + \theta_{2} - 2)^{2}\frac{e_{v}^{2}}{4} \right\}$$

$$= (T_{u}^{2})^{2} \delta \left\{ C_{u}^{2} + (\eta_{1}\theta_{1} + 4\eta_{1} + \theta_{2} - \eta_{1}\theta_{2} - 2)\rho_{c}C_{u}C_{v} + (\eta_{1}\theta_{1} + 4\eta_{1} - \eta_{1}\theta_{2} + \theta_{2} - 2)^{2}\frac{C_{v}^{2}}{4} \right\}$$
(2.7)

# b) Optimality Conditions for proposed generalized exponential ratio-product estimator for population variance in simple random sampling

To get the optimal value of  $\eta_1$  that will minimize the equation (2.7) while substituting that value in that equation. So we have take partial derivative of (2.7) with respective to  $\eta_1$ , equated to zero and obtain that optimal value.

$$\frac{\partial MSE(t_{u(pr)}^{2})}{\eta_{1}} = (\theta_{1} + 4 - \theta_{2})\rho_{c}C_{u}C_{v} + 2(\eta_{1}\theta_{1} + 4\eta_{1} - \eta_{1}\theta_{2} + \theta_{2} - 2)(\theta_{1} + 4 - \theta_{2})\frac{C_{v}^{2}}{4} = 0$$

$$\eta_{1} = \frac{2 - \theta_{2} - 2k}{\theta_{1} + 4 - \theta_{2}}$$
(2.8)

Substituting the value of (2.8) in (2.7) we obtain the optimal mean square error of  $t_{u(pr)}^2$  as

$$MSE(t_{u(pr)}^{2})_{opt} = \delta(T_{u}^{2})^{2} \begin{cases} C_{u}^{2} + (2 - \theta_{2} - 2k)\rho_{c}C_{u}C_{v} + (\theta_{2} - 2)\rho_{c}C_{u}C_{v} \\ + \left[(2 - \theta_{2} - 2k)^{2} + 2(2 - \theta_{2} - 2k)(\theta_{2} - 2) + (\theta_{2} - 2)^{2}\right]\frac{C_{v}^{2}}{4} \end{cases}$$

$$MSE(t_{u(pr)}^{2})_{opt} = \delta(T_{u}^{2})^{2}C_{u}^{2}(1-\rho_{c}^{2})$$
(2.9)

From (2.9), it is observed that the optimum MSE of the proposed generalized exponential ratio-product estimator is the same as the MSE of the linear regression estimator.

Table 2 shows the different members of the family of the proposed generalized exponential ratio-product  $t_{u(pr)}^2$  estimator obtained from suitably choosing  $\theta_1$ ,  $\theta_2$ , and  $\eta_1$ . By substituting various members were formed with their remained that of the linear regression estimator.

$\eta_1$	$\eta_2$	$\theta_1$	$\theta_2$	Estimator	Bias
0	1	1- <i>G</i>	1- <i>G</i>	$t_{u(pr1)}^{2} = t_{y}^{2} \left[ \frac{T_{v}^{2}}{t_{v}^{2}} \exp \left\{ \frac{(1-G)(t_{v}^{2}-T_{v}^{2})}{t_{v}^{2}+T_{v}^{2}} \right\} \right]$	$\frac{T_u^2\delta}{8}\left\{3C_v^2-3\rho_c^2C_u^2\right\}$
$\frac{1-D}{2}$	$\frac{1+D}{2}$	0	0	$t_{u(pr2)}^{2} = t_{y}^{2} \left[ \left( \frac{1-D}{2} \right) \frac{t_{y}^{2}}{T_{y}^{2}} + \left( \frac{1+D}{2} \right) \frac{T_{y}^{2}}{t_{y}^{2}} \right]$	$\frac{1}{2} \frac{T_u^2 \delta}{2} \left\{ C_v^2 + \rho_c C_u C_v - 2\rho_c^2 C_v \right\}$
$\frac{2-3S_{pw}}{4}$	$\frac{2+3S_{pw}}{4}$	S <sub>pw</sub>	S <sub>pw</sub>	$t_{u(pr3)}^{2} = t_{y}^{2} \left[ \left( \frac{2 - 3S_{pw}}{4} \right) \frac{t_{v}^{2}}{T_{v}^{2}} \exp \left\{ \frac{S_{pw} \left( t_{u}^{2} - T_{u}^{2} \right)}{t_{u}^{2} + T_{u}^{2}} + \left( \frac{2 + 3S_{pw}}{4} \right) \frac{T_{v}^{2}}{t_{v}^{2}} \exp \left\{ \frac{S_{pw} \left( t_{u}^{2} - T_{u}^{2} \right)}{t_{u}^{2} + T_{u}^{2}} + \left( \frac{2 + 3S_{pw}}{4} \right) \frac{T_{v}^{2}}{t_{v}^{2}} \exp \left\{ \frac{S_{pw} \left( t_{u}^{2} - T_{u}^{2} \right)}{t_{u}^{2} + T_{u}^{2}} + \left( \frac{S_{pw} \left( t_{u}^{2} - T_{u}^{2} \right)}{t_{u}^{2} + T_{u}^{2}} \right) \right\}$	$\frac{\left \frac{1}{2}\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta\left\{2C_{v}^{2} + \rho_{c}C_{u}C_{v} - 5\rho_{c}^{2}C_{u}^{2}\right\}\right }{\frac{1}{2}}$

**Table 2**: Special members of the generalized exponential ratio-product  $t_{u(pr)}^2$  estimator

# **3.** EFFICIENCY COMPARISON

The efficiency comparison in this study are done using the mean square error of the proposed generalized exponential ratio-product estimator for population variance and the other existing estimators mentioned in this study.

# a) Efficiency Comparison with the Classical ratio estimator

The mean square error of the classical ratio estimator is given as

$$MSE(t_{u(CLR}^{2}) = \delta T_{u}^{4} [C_{u}^{2} + C_{v}^{2} (1 - 2k)]$$

The condition for the proposed estimators to be more efficient than the classical ratio estimator is defined by

$$\delta T_{u}^{4} \Big[ C_{u}^{2} + C_{v}^{2} \big( 1 - 2k \big) \Big] - \delta \Big( T_{u}^{2} \Big)^{2} C_{u}^{2} \Big( 1 - \rho_{c}^{2} \Big) > 0$$

 $\Rightarrow (1-k)^2 > 0$ , which Is always true

# b) Efficiency Comparison with the Classical product estimator

The mean square error of the classical product estimator is given as

$$MSE(t_{u(CLR}^{2}) = \delta T_{u}^{4} [C_{u}^{2} + C_{v}^{2}(1-2k)]$$

The condition for the proposed estimators to be more efficient than the classical product estimator is defined by

$$\delta T_{u}^{4} \Big[ C_{u}^{2} + C_{v}^{2} \big( 1 + 2k \big) \Big] - \delta \big( T_{u}^{2} \big)^{2} C_{u}^{2} \big( 1 - \rho_{c}^{2} \big) > 0$$

 $\Rightarrow (1+k)^2 > 0$ , which Is always true

c) Efficiency Comparison with the Exponential ratio estimator

The mean square error of the exponential ratio estimator is given as

$$MSE(t_{u(\exp R)}^{2}) = \delta T_{u}^{4} \left[ C_{u}^{2} + \frac{C_{v}^{2}}{4} (1-4k) \right]$$

The condition for the proposed estimators to be more efficient than the exponential ratio estimator is defined by

$$\delta T_{u}^{4} \left[ C_{u}^{2} + \frac{C_{v}^{2}}{4} \left( 1 - 4k \right) \right] - \delta \left( T_{u}^{2} \right)^{2} C_{u}^{2} \left( 1 - \rho_{c}^{2} \right) > 0$$

 $\Rightarrow (1-2k)^2 > 0$ , which Is always true

d) Efficiency Comparison with the Exponential product estimator

The mean square error of the exponential product estimator is given as

$$MSE(t_{u(\exp P}^{2}) = \delta T_{u}^{4} \left[ C_{u}^{2} + \frac{C_{v}^{2}}{4} (1+4k) \right]$$

The condition for the proposed estimators to be more efficient than the exponential product estimator is defined by

$$\delta T_{u}^{4} \left[ C_{u}^{2} + \frac{C_{v}^{2}}{4} (1+4k) \right] - \delta \left( T_{u}^{2} \right)^{2} C_{u}^{2} \left( 1 - \rho_{c}^{2} \right) > 0$$

 $\Rightarrow$   $(1+2k)^2 > 0$  , which Is always true

e) Efficiency Comparison with the Classical Chain ratio estimator

The mean square error of the Classical Chain ratio estimator is given as

$$MSE(t_{u(ChR}^{2}) = \delta T_{u}^{4} [C_{u}^{2} + 4C_{v}^{2}(1-k)]$$

The condition for the proposed estimators to be more efficient than the Classical Chain ratio estimator is defined by

$$\delta T_{u}^{4} \Big[ C_{u}^{2} + 4 C_{v}^{2} (1-k) \Big] - \delta \Big( T_{u}^{2} \Big)^{2} C_{u}^{2} \Big( 1 - \rho_{c}^{2} \Big) > 0$$

 $\Rightarrow k^2 - 4k + 4 > 0$ , which Is always true

f) Efficiency Comparison with the Classical Chain product estimator

The mean square error of the Classical Chain product estimator is given as  $MSE(t_{u(ChP}^{2}) = \delta T_{u}^{4} [C_{u}^{2} + 4C_{v}^{2}(1+k)]$ 

The condition for the proposed estimators to be more efficient than the Classical Chain product estimator is defined by

$$\delta T_{u}^{4} \Big[ C_{u}^{2} + 4 C_{v}^{2} \big( 1 + k \big) \Big] - \delta \big( T_{u}^{2} \big)^{2} C_{u}^{2} \big( 1 - \rho_{c}^{2} \big) > 0$$

 $\Rightarrow k^2 + 4k + 4 > 0$ , which Is always true, except k = -2.

### 4. NUMERICAL ILLUSTRATION

The performance of the proposed estimators  $t_{u(pri)}^2$ , i = 1,2,3, which are members of suggested

generalized exponential ratio-product estimator for population variance  $t_{u(pr)}^2$  are evaluated against the classical ratio, classical product, exponential ratio, exponential product, classical chain ratio, classical chain product. For this we have used the different data sets; data set 1 is taken from Murthy (1967) page 228 in which fixed capital is denoted by V (auxiliary variable) and output of 80 factories are denoted by U (Study variable), data set 2 is taken from the book "Theory and Analysis of Sample Survey Designs" by Singh, D and Chaudhary, F. S. (1986) page 177, in which the data under wheat in 1971 and 1973 is given and in which area under wheat in the region was to be estimated during 1974 is denoted by U(study variable) by using the data of cultivated area under wheat in 1971 is denoted by V (auxiliary variable) and the data set 3 is taken from the Division of Agricultural Statistics Faculty of Horticulture Shalimar in which the data of apple production amount (as an interest of variate) and the number of apple trees (as an auxiliary variate) in 499 villages of District Baramulla of Jammu and Kashmir from 2010-2011. (Source: RCM project, pilot survey for estimation of cultivation and production of apple in District Baramulla, RCM approved project). First, we have stratified the data by area wise and from each stratum (region) and the samples (villages) have been selected randomly. Here, we have taken the sample size to 170. We joined two areas, then chose four strata where each one contains three blocks (as 1: Zaniger, Boniyar, Tangmarg; 2: Wagoora, Sopore, Baramulla; 3: Uri, Pattan, Rohama; 4: Rafiabad, Kunzer, Singpora) for this data. However, in the present study, we have used only the data of Uri, Pattan, Rohama of district Baramulla of Jammu and Kashmir, due to the interest in simple random sampling. We have applied our proposed ratio estimators on the data of apple production amount and number of apple trees in 117 villages of Uri, Pattan, Rohama of district Baramulla of Jammu and Kashmir, in which the apple production (in tons) is denoted by U (study variable), and the number of apple trees is denoted by V(auxiliary variable, 1 unit = 100 trees) and data statistics is given in Table 3. We have computed the percent relative efficiencies (PREs) of the suggested class of estimators which is suitable alternative to the linear regression estimator with the mentioned estimators in this study by using the formulae given in (4.1) and the statistical analysis of data set 1, data set 2 and data set 3 are given in Table 4, 5 and 6 respectively.

$$PRE(t_{u(i)}^{2}, t_{u(pri)}^{2}) = \frac{MSE(t_{u(i)}^{2})}{t_{u(pri)}^{2}} \times 100$$
(4.1)  
Where  $t_{u(i)}^{2}$ ,  $i = (CL_{R})$ ,  $(CL_{P})$ ,  $(CL_{R})$ ,  $(Exp_{R})$ ,  $(Exp_{P})$ ,  $(CL_{CR})$ ,  $(CL_{CP})$  and  $t_{u(pri)}^{2}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ 

Table 3: Data Statistics					
Parameters	Data set 1	Data set 2	Data set 3		
Ζ	80	34	177		
Z	20	20	40		
$\overline{U}$	51.8264	856.4117	1263		
$\overline{V}$	11.2646	208.8823	560		
$T_{u}$	18.3569	733.1407	862		
$C_{u}$	0.3542	0.8561	0.9728		
$T_{v}$	8.4563	150.5059	235.5		
$C_v$	0.7507	0.7205	0.7395		
$ ho_c$	0.9413	0.4491	0.987		
G	9.0408	155.446	205.142		
D	8.0138	140.891	150.600		
$S_{pw}$	7.9136	199.961	98.67		

Estimators	Bias	MSE	PRE
$t_{u(clR)}^2$	3.959	534.290	877.648
$t_{u(clP)}^2$	3.163	535.291	879.293
$t_{u(\exp R)}^2$	1.089	534.118	877.365
$t_{u(\exp P)}^2$	0.099	534.618	878.187
$t_{u(chR)}^2$	0.796	534.479	877.958
$t_{u(chP)}^2$	13.447	538.483	884.537
$t_{u(LR)}^2$	0.000	60.877	100.000
$t_{u(pr1)}^2$	2.144	60.877	100.000
$t_{u(pr2)}^2$	3.737	60.877	100.000
$t_{u(pr3)}^2$	2.596	60.877	100.000

Table 4: Statistical Analysis of Estimators mentioned in this study for Data Set 2

Estimators	Bias	MSE	PRE
$t_{u(clR)}^2$	2679.17	4359306815	125.26
$t_{u(clP)}^2$	3065.46	4359306817	125.26
$t_{u(\exp R)}^2$	621.51	4359306815	125.26
$t_{u(\exp P)}^2$	-48.29	4359306816	125.26
$t_{u(chR)}^2$	-386.29	4359306815	125.26
$t_{u(chP)}^2$	11875.54	4359306820	125.26
$t_{u(LR)}^2$	0.00	3480074693	100.00
$t_{u(pr1)}^2$	1540.81	3480074693	100
$t_{u(pr2)}^2$	2769.25	3480074693	100
$t_{u(pr3)}^{2}$	1593.93	3480074693	100

Table 4: Statistical Analysis of Estimators mentioned in this study for Data Set 3

Estimators	Bias	MSE	PRE
$t_{u(clR)}^2$	-1994.84	8596487959	3871.32
$t_{u(clP)}^2$	8680.37	8596487962	3871.32
$t_{u(\exp R)}^2$	-1833.11	8596487959	3871.32
$t_{u(\exp P)}^2$	-1334.40	8596487961	3871.32
$t_{u(chR)}^2$	-10675.21	8596487957	3871.32
$t_{u(chP)}^2$	24046.27	8596487968	3871.32
$t_{u(LR)}^2$	0.00	222055880	100.00
$t_{u(prl)}^2$	-1719.34	222055880	100.00
$t_{u(pr2)}^2$	-3587.49	222055880	100.00
$t_{u(pr3)}^2$	-8575.19	222055880	100.00

# 5. CONCLUSION

From both analytical and empirical comparison it has been observed that our proposed generalized exponential ratio-product estimator at its optimal condition is a suitable alternative to the linear regression estimator which is clear from equation (2.9) and from the empirical study given in table 4, 5 and 6 reveals that mean square error of the proposed estimators of the generalized class has same as linear regression estimator does have. Thus, provides suitable alternative to linear regression estimator for practical applications while estimating population variance. Among the proposed estimators the suggested estimator 1 is more efficient than the remaining two suggested estimators in the present study. The suggested estimators in this paper can be modified to other sampling methods like stratified sampling, non response, rank set sampling etc. See for illustration; Subzar et al (2018), Al-Omari and Haq (2019), Bouza and Subzar (2019).

RECEIVED: SEPTEMBER, 2020. REVISED: DECEMBER, 2020.

### REFERENCES

- [1] AL- OMARI, A. I. and HAQ, A. (2019): A new sampling method for estimating the population mean. Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation, 89, 1973-1985.
- [2] BAHL, S., and TUTEJA, R. K. (1991): Ratio and product type exponential estimator. Journal of Information and Optimization Sciences, 12, 159-164.
- [3] BHAT, M. A., MAQBOOL, S., and SUBZAR, M. (2018b): An Improvement in Variance estimator for the estimation of population variance, using known values of auxiliary information. Journal of Pure and Applied Bioscience, 6, 135-138.
- [4] BHAT, M. A., MAQBOOL, S., SARAF, S. A., MALIK, S. H., ISMAIL, Y., and SUBZAR, M. (2018a): Improved and robust estimators for finite population variance using linear combination of probability weighted moment and quartiles as auxiliary information. Journal of Scientific Research and Reports, 18, 1-6.
- [5] BOUZA, C. N. and SUBZAR, M. (2019): Sub-sampling rules for item non response of an estimator based on the combination of regression and ratio. Journal of King Saud University – Science, 31, 171-176.
- [6] COCHRAN, W. G. (1940): The estimation of the yields of the general experiments by sampling for the ratio of grain to total produce. **Journal of Agricultural Sciences**, 30, 262-275.
- [7] DAS, A. K., and TRIPATHI, T. P. (1978): Use of auxiliary information in estimating the finite population variance. **Sankhya**, 40, 139-148.
- [8] ISAKI, C. T. (1983): Variance estimation using auxiliary information. Journal of American Statistical Association, 78, 117-123.
- [9] KADILAR, C., and CINGI, H. (2003): A Study on the chain ratio type estimator. Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 32, 105-108.
- [10] KADILAR, C., and CINGI, H. (2006): Ratio estimators for the population variance in simple and stratified random sampling. **Applied Mathematics Computation**, 173, 1047-1059.
- [11] MAQBOOL, S., BHAT, M. A., and SUBZAR, M. (2018b): Utilization of quartiles, deciles and arithmetic mean as auxiliary variable for precise estimation of population variance. Journal of Pure and Applied Bioscience, 6, 558-561.
- [12] MURTHY, M. N. (1964): Product method of estimation. Sankhya: Indian Journal of Statistical Series A, 26, 69-74.
- [13] MURTHY, M. N. (1967): Sampling: Theory and Methods, Statistical Publishing Society, Calcutta.

[14] ROBSON, D. S. (1957): Application of multivariate Polykays to the theory of unbiased ratio type estimation. Journal of American Statistical Association, 52, 511-522.

- [15] SINGH, D. and CHAUDHARY, F. S. (1986): **Theory and Analysis of Sample Survey Designs**. 1 edn, New Age International Publisher, India.
- [16] SINGH, H. P., PAL, S. K., and SOLANKI, R. S. (2013): Improved estimation of finite population variance using quartiles. Istatistik-Journal of Turkish Statistical Association, 6, 166-121.
- [17] SINGH, H. P., PAL, S. K., and SOLANKI, R. S. (2014): A new procedure for estimation of finite population variance using auxiliary information. Journal of Reliability and Statistical Studies, 7, 149-160.
- [18] SOLANKI, R. S., SINGH, H. P., and PAL, S. K. (2015): Improved ratio-type estimators of finite population variance using quartiles. Hacettepe journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 44, 747-754.
- [19] SUBZAR, M., MAQBOOL, S., RAJA, T. A. and BHAT, M. A. (2018): Estimation of finite population mean in stratified random sampling using Non-conventional measures of dispersion. Journal of Reliability and Statistical Studies, 11, 83-89.